

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/758,877	Applicant(s) WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Corey M. Broussard	Art Unit 2835	

**All Participants:**

(1) Corey M. Broussard.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** 10/758877

(3) Richard Park (Reg# 41241).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 November 2005

**Time:** 11:00am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1-20

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner stated the Restriction Requirement present over the application. Applicant chose to elect over the phone.*

**Part III.**


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)